



California

---

### **Bars and Professional Qualifications**

---

- State Bar of California
- United States Patent and Trademark Office
- U.S. District Court for the Central District of California
- U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California

---

### **Areas of Focus**

---

- Litigation
- Intellectual Property Prosecution and Counseling

---

### **Languages**

---

- English

**Counsel**

# FRANKLIN G. GIBBS

[franklingibbs@integrationlaw.com](mailto:franklingibbs@integrationlaw.com)

Franklin G. Gibbs is a patent attorney and registered patent practitioner admitted to practice patent prosecution before the United States Patent and Trademark Office and appeals before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences.

With a background in physics and engineering, including fourteen years of management and engineering at Hughes Aircraft Company, Mr. Gibbs has worked with a wide spectrum of clients. His clients have ranged from individual inventors seeking intellectual property protection for simple inventions, to multi-million dollar aerospace companies negotiating complex licensing agreements with entities such as NASA.

As an experienced litigation attorney with a strong background in intellectual property, in addition to patent prosecution matters, Mr. Gibbs has also worked on a wide range of intellectual property matters, including complex patent infringement litigation, copyright and trademark litigation, trade secrets, and unfair competition. Mr. Gibbs has represented domestic and international companies in the aerospace, smartphone, semi-conductor, computer hard-drive, and automotive industries. He advises clients on intellectual property protection, technology licensing, privacy and non-disclosure matters.

Mr. Gibbs has been lead counsel on numerous complex patent infringement and copyright actions. Mr. Gibbs has directed all stages of complex patent litigation including discovery, motion practice, invalidity contentions, infringement contentions, Markman hearings, depositions, motions in limine, trial briefs, ex-parte reexamination petitions before the USPTO, and settlement negotiations.



---

## Representative Matters

---

### **U.S. Patent Litigation**

- ▶ Lin Packaging Technologies, Ltd. v. Powerchip Semiconductor Corporation - represented Powerchip Semiconductor Corporation, a Taiwan-based publicly-traded DRAM manufacturer in the Eastern District of Texas. The patent in that case concerned semiconductor memory technology.

---

### **U.S. Patent Litigation**

- ▶ Crossroads Systems, Inc. v. Dot Hill Systems Corporation - represented Dot Hill, a publicly-traded data solutions company, in the Eastern District of Texas. The patent in that case concerned computer router technology.

---

### **U.S. Patent Litigation**

- ▶ Datascape, Inc. v. Sprint Nextel Corporation, et al. - represented Sprint, one of the leading publicly-traded wireless telecommunications companies, in the Northern District of Georgia. The patent in that case concerned smartphone technology.

---

### **U.S. Patent Litigation**

- ▶ Heraus Inc. v. Solar Applied Material Technology Corporation - represented Solar Applied Material Technology Corporation, a Taiwan-based precious metal and thin film targets manufacturer, in the Northern District of California. The patent in that case concerned semiconductor technology.

---

### **U.S. Patent Litigation**

- ▶ Fellowes, Inc. v. Aurora Corporation of America - defended Aurora Corporation, a Southern California-based office equipment manufacturer, in the Northern District of Illinois. The patent in that case concerned electronics technologies.